UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/728,984	12/08/2003	David Seidler	9007.0003	1104
22852 FINNEGAN, H	7590 11/20/200' IENDERSON, FARAB	7 SOW, GARRETT & DUNNER	EXAM	INER
LLP		DOAN, ROBYN KIEU		
	RK AVENUE, NW N, DC 20001-4413	•	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3732	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	11/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Ht.		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•
Advisory Action	10/728,984	SEIDLER ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robyn Doan	3732	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>31 October 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	îdavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exampler 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing day).	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection,	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); tter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); ducing or simplifying	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		(DTO) .004)
 In the amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 In Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 7, 19, 31. Claim(s) rejected: 1-6,8-18,20-30 and 32-44. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	it does NOT place the application if	t condition for allowa	nce pecause:
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 3. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
		/Robyn Doan/	

/Robyn Doan/ Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3732 Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claim 1 has been amended to include new issues " wherein first and second magnets couple to the base and the cover together when the cover in the open position" which requires further considerations.